## DONCASTER METROPOLITAN BOROUGH COUNCIL

		E 9th Doo	ombor 2020
	PLANNING COMMITTE	E – oth Dec	eiliber, 2020
Application	1		
Application Number:	20/01323/FUL		
Application Type:	Planning FULL		
Proposal Description:	Retrospective change of use of land to Sui Generis for the recycling of concrete, bricks, rubble and soils into a sellable byproduct to provide recycled aggregates; construction materials storage; civils engineering operation use and proposed erection of modular building.		
At:	Unit 1, Pastures Road, Mexborough, S64 0JJ		
For:	Mr Adrian Catlow		
Third Party Reps:	71 Representations in Objection	Parish:	N/A
		Ward:	Mexborough

A proposal was made to grant the Application.

Proposed by: Councillor John Healy

Seconded by: Councillor Charlie Hogarth

For: 3 Against: 6 Abstain: 1

On being put to the meeting, the proposal to grant the Application was declared LOST.

Subsequently, a proposal was made to refuse the Application which was contrary to the Officer's recommendation.

Proposed by: Councillor Jonathan Wood

Seconded by: Councillor Mick Cooper

For: 6 Against: 3 Abstain: 1

On being put to the meeting, the proposal to refuse the Application was declared CARRIED.

Decision: Planning permission refused for the following reason:-

01. The application was refused contrary to the Officer's recommendation, due to the proposed impact on residential amenity from dust, noise from operations and traffic movements. The application was deemed contrary to Policies CS1 and CS14 of the Core Strategy and Paragraph 127 F of the NPPF.

In accordance with Planning Guidance 'Having Your Say at Planning Committee', Ms Debbie Epton, a local resident and Local Ward Members Councillors Bev Chapman and Sean Gibbons, spoke in opposition to the application for the duration of up to 5 minutes each.

In accordance with Planning Guidance 'Having Your Say at Planning Committee', Mr Will Mulvany, the Agent, spoke in support of the application for the duration of up to 5 minutes.

		Ward:	Thorne and Moorends
Third Party Reps:	0	Parish:	Throne Town Council
For:	Mr Lee Todd		
At:	Former St Johns A Doncaster, DN8 4L		Grange Road, Moorends,
Proposal Description:			ition of existing building.
Application Type:	Planning Full		
Application Number:	19/02192/FUL		
Application	2		

A proposal was made to grant the Application which was contrary to the Officer's recommendation.

Proposed by: Councillor Steve Cox

Seconded by: Councillor Iris Beech

For: 8 Against: 1 Abstain: 0

Decision: Determination of the application be delegated to the Head of

Planning; such determination shall be in accordance with any direction given by the Secretary of State under Section 77 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990, following consultation with him in accordance with the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (Consultation) Direction 2009. If no such direction is given within 21 days of the Secretary of State confirming receipt of the referral, the Head of Planning shall grant the application

subject to conditions.

In accordance with Planning Guidance 'Having Your Say at Planning Committee', Local Ward Member Councillor Joe Blackham, spoke in support of the application for the duration of up to 5 minutes.

Application	3		
Application Number:	20/00992/FUL		
Application Type:	FULL Planning Applic	ation	
Proposal Description: At:	Demolition of nissen I of 19/01422/FUL).  Land South of Ridgill		of 9 dwellings (being resubmission  Doncaster, DN6 8HS
For:	Mr Brian Sables		
Third Party Reps:	1 letter of support	Parish:	N/A
	1	Ward:	Adwick Le Street & Carcroft

A proposal was made to refuse the Application.

Proposed by: Councillor Charlie Hogarth

Seconded by: Councillor Jonathan Wood

For: 9 Against: 0 Abstain: 0

Decision: Planning permission refused for the following reasons:-

- 01. The proposal would result inappropriate development in the Green Belt with no very special circumstances being provided which outweigh the harm to the openness of the Green Belt. The proposed design, scale and layout of the development results in significantly greater harm to the openness of this Green Belt location; by virtue of not only the presence of housing in the Green Belt, but by the amount of hard surfacing, parking dominated frontages, 10m high buildings with bulky rear dormers, and no available space to offer an soft landscaping, thus causing overdevelopment of the site harming the openness further. The development is considered to be contrary to saved policies ENV1 and ENV3 of the UDP (adopted in 1998), CS3 of Doncaster's Core Strategy (2011 2028) and Section 13 of the NPPF (2019).
- 02. The layout and scale of the development with parking dominated frontages is considered to result in overdevelopment of the site and would appear dominate from

the surrounding area especially the neighbouring bungalows on Repton Road. The development would constitute poor design with no opportunity to provide landscaping to soften the development or reduce the harm to the Green Belt location. Furthermore, the proposal would not achieve the minimum of 1 tree per dwelling which the Council expects housing schemes to meet in order to maximise the benefits of tree planting. The proposal is therefore considered to be contrary to saved policy ENV53 of the UDP (adopted in 1998), Policies CS1, CS3, CS14, and CS16 of Doncaster's Core Strategy (2011 - 2028) and Section 12 of the NPPF (2019).

- 03. The submission has provided insufficient information to apply the sequential test as set out in National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Meeting the challenge of climate change, flooding and coastal change and therefore, fails the sequential test. Additionally, the LPA do not agree with the information that has been provided to allow the development to pass the exceptions test and that the scheme does not provide sustainability benefits which outweigh the risk of flooding. The proposal is therefore contrary to the National Planning Policy Framework, Core Strategy Policy CS 4 Flooding (2011 2028) and Drainage and Doncaster's Flood Risk and Drainage SPD (adopted 2010).
- 04. The submitted Ecological Appraisal outlines there would be a loss of biodiversity as the site has value for nesting and foraging birds, the proposed layout does not provide any opportunities for native planting, a comprehensive landscaping scheme or use of species which would have the required bio-diversity enhancements to compensate for the loss. The development is therefore considered to be contrary to Policy CS16 of the Core Strategy (2011 2028) and paragraph 170 of the NPPF (2019).

In accordance with Planning Guidance 'Having Your Say at Planning Committee', Ms Susan Chan, the Agent, spoke in support of the application for the duration of up to 5 minutes.

Application	4			
Application	19/03101/FU	Ī	1	
	13/03/10/// 0	_		
Number:				
Application	FULL Plannin	a Application	1	
	TOLE TRAINING	ig / ipplication	•	
Type:				
Proposal	Erection of a	drive-thru fre	estanding res	taurant with car parking,
Description:				Displays (COD), with canopies,
Description.				
	children's play	y frame and a	associated wo	rks
At:	Land and Buildings South of Doncaster Road, Denaby Main			
		3		,
For:	McDonald's	Restaurants	Ltd	
Third Party	6 letters of si	upport	Parish:	None
Reps:	2 objections	•		
перз.	2 objections			
			Ward:	Conisbrough

An amendment was proposed in relation to an additional Condition relating to the details of a litter management plan.

Proposed by: Councillor Jonathan Wood

Seconded by: Councillor Andy Pickering

For: 9 Against: 0 Abstain: 0

On being put to the meeting, the Motion was declared CARRIED.

A proposal was made to grant the Application subject the completion of a Section 106 Agreement and the additional Condition.

Proposed by: Councillor Jonathan Wood

Seconded by: Councillor John Healy

For: 9 Against: 0 Abstain: 0

Decision: Planning permission granted subject the completion of an

Agreement under Section 106 of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 in relation to the following matters and the addition of

the following Condition:-

(a) The securing of a commuted sum or sums for the management, maintenance and running costs of a permanent

pedestrian crossing within the adopted highway on Doncaster Road following its installation (which is required by Condition 08 of this consent).

09. Prior to the first use of the building hereby approved, a litter management plan shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. The management plan shall set out measures for controlling litter generated by the use hereby approved, both on and off the site and shall be adhered to for the lifetime of the development.

## Reason

To control the amount of litter produced by the development in accordance with Policy CS14 (9) of the Core Strategy.

In accordance with Planning Guidance 'Having Your Say at Planning Committee', Mr Phillip Isherwood, on behalf of the Applicant, spoke in support of the application for the duration of up to 5 minutes.

(The receipt of amendments to the report to reference the need for a legal agreement to provide a commuted sum for the running costs and maintenance of a pedestrian crossing on Doncaster Road, which was separately required to be provided, as set out at Condition 08, was reported at the meeting.)

Application	5		
Application Number:	16/01384/FUL		
Application Type:	Planning FULL		
Proposal Description:		` '	nits and 11 flats at 1st/2nd vehicle access (amended
At:	Land East of Hexthorpe Youth Centre, Shady Side, Hexthorpe, Doncaster, DN4 0DH		
For:	Miss D Mayil C/O - Inan Gokcek - Studio Anares		
Third Party Reps:	13 letters of objection	Parish:	
		Ward:	Hexthorpe & Balby North

A proposal was made to grant the Application.

Proposed by: Councillor Jonathan Wood

Seconded by: Councillor Steve Cox

For: 3 Against: 5 Abstain: 0

On being put to the meeting, the proposal to grant the Application was declared LOST.

Subsequently, a proposal was made to refuse the Application which was contrary to the Officer's recommendation.

Proposed by: Councillor Mick Cooper

Seconded by: Councillor John Healy

For: 6 Against: 0 Abstain: 2

On being put to the meeting, the proposal to refuse the Application was declared CARRIED.

Decision: Planning permission refused for the following reasons:-

- 01. The proposal would have an unacceptable impact on highway safety resulting from the lack of parking on the site. The Application was deemed contrary to Policy CS14 of the Core Strategy and Paragraph 109 of the NPPF.
- 02. The proposal would have an unacceptable impact on the character of the area due to the lack of soft landscaping proposed as part of the Application. The Application was deemed contrary to Policy CS14 of the Core Strategy, informed by Paragraph 8.11 of the Developer Requirements and Guidance Supplementary Planning Guidance.

In accordance with Planning Guidance 'Having Your Say at Planning Committee', Local Ward Members Councillors Glyn Jones and Sue Wilkinson, spoke in opposition to the application for the duration of up to 5 minutes each.

In accordance with Planning Guidance 'Having Your Say at Planning Committee', Mr Inan Gokcek, the Agent, spoke in support of the application for the duration of up to 5 minutes.

(The receipt of an amended plan altering the siting of the building, was reported at the meeting.)

Application	6		
Application Number:	20/01532/FUL		
Application Type:	Planning FULL		
Proposal Description:	1	_	onstruction of new access clude additional garage)
At:	Chapel Farm, Baw 6PH	try Road, Hatfield	Woodhouse, Doncaster, DN7
For:	Mr J Holt		
Third Party Reps:	None	Parish:	Hatfield Parish Council
•		Ward:	Hatfield

An amendment was proposed in relation to an additional Condition relating to a Landscaping Scheme.

Proposed by: Councillor Mick Cooper

Seconded by: Councillor John Healy

For: 8 Against: 0 Abstain: 1

On being put to the meeting, the Motion was declared CARRIED.

A proposal was made to grant the Application subject to the additional Condition.

Proposed by: Councillor Iris Beech

Seconded by: Councillor Mick Cooper

For: 8 Against: 0 Abstain: 1

Decision: Planning permission granted subject to the addition of the

following Condition:-

11. No development shall take place on the site until a detailed landscape scheme has been submitted to and approved in

writing by the Local Planning Authority. The scheme shall include a soft landscape plan; a schedule providing details of the species, nursery stock specification in accordance with British Standard 3936: 1992 Nursery Stock Part One and planting distances of trees and shrubs; a specification of planting and staking/guying and a timescale of implementation. Thereafter, the landscape scheme shall be implemented in full accordance with the approved details and the Local Planning Authority notified in writing within 7 working days to approve practical completion. Any part of the scheme which fails to achieve independence in the landscape or is damaged or removed within five years of planting, shall be replaced during the next available planting season in full accordance with the approved scheme, unless the Local Planning Authority gives its written approval to any variation.

## Reason

In the interests of environmental quality and core strategy policy CS16: Valuing our Natural Environment.